

Showcasing and reflecting on AE-TPP progress

The Metrics Domain

01 April 2025

2025 • Annual Members Forum Meeting / Hà Nội, Việt Nam

Matthias Geck

Levelling the playing field

A key challenge to up-scaling agroecology is providing policymakers, donors, development actors and farmers with ways of measuring performance that **allow fair comparison** with alternatives.

Agri-food systems are complex, measuring them isn't easy.

Dominant practice has been to **measure a narrow set of metrics** focusing on economic performance and productivity.





But agroecological systems provide environmental and social benefits, not only economic ones!

THE METRICS DOMAIN OF THE AGROECOLOGY TPP













Holistic Performance Measurement for Food Systems Transformation

A scoping study in Burkina Faso, Ghana, and Tunisia



Matthias Geck & Mary Crossland





KNOWLEDGE BRIEF

What agroecology brings to food security and ecosystem services: a review of scientific evidence



Abstract

There is a growing body of scientific evidence regarding the outcomes and impacts of agroecology. This knowledge brief aims to provide a set of evidence, based on a large-scale analysis of scientific articles (literature review, meta-analysis, models).

There is a strong theoretical basis and empirical evidence that food security outcomes (availability, access, utilisation, stability) are as good or sometimes even better for agroecological systems than conventional alternatives. Four levers for agroecology supporting the positive impacts of agroecology on food security are analysed: crop diversification, legume-based systems, agroforestry and mixed crop-livestock systems. Crop diversification is an effective strategy to improve food security by mobilising different biological mechanisms. Due to its biological characteristics for nitrogen (N) fixing, legumes are one of the most important levers for improving food security (both availability and food utilisation/nutrition) based on agroecological principles. Agroforestry contributes to food availability by recycling nutrients, to food stability by increasing the resilience of the farming systems and to food utilisation through better diets. Mixed crop-livestock systems contribute to food availability by recycling nutrients and to food utilisation through meat and milk consumption.

As agroecology is more than a set of practices, this knowledge brief specifically focuses on two approaches with a high potential to increase food security and efficiently address environmental challenges. A set of evidence is analysed for integrated soil health management and agroecological pest management.



Beyond production and food security, agroecology brings multiple services. In fact, such services are the main arguments to support agroecological approaches able to adequately address both food security and environmental challenges. Socio-economic evidence is also analysed.

1 Context and objective

Agroecology is a science, a set of practices and a social movement. It is defined by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) as "an integrated approach that simultaneously applies ecological and social concepts and principles to the design and management of food and agricultural systems" that "aims to optimise the interactions between plants, animals, humans and the environment while taking into account the social aspects that must be addressed for a sustainable and equitable food system". Many actors referring to agroecology prefer to insist on principles that define what agroecology is. The FAO proposes 10 elements to characterise agroecology, identified during a consultation process carried out between 2015 and 2017, and culminating with an international symposium in 2018.1 The HLPE report (2019) on agroecology presents 13 principles (both technical, social and organisational)



Agroecology- towards the transformation of food systems

Agroecology, based on a set of principles and elements, is a transformative pathway towards sustainable food systems. Discover its foundations through theory and practical examples!

Click on the O icon to find out more.



As you explore the infographic, you will come across the word «farmer» several times. This is often used to indicate other food producers (fisher-folks, herders...)

https://www.desiralift.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/DeSIRA-LIFT-Knowledge-brief4-Agroecology.pdf



https://www.fao.org/agroecology/database/detail/en/c/1734727/

Measuring Agroecology and its Performance(MAP)

Key findings and lessons learned from applying TAPE in Benin, Ethiopia, Kenya, and Madagascar in the context of ProSoil



Measuring Agroecology and its Performance (MAP)

Key findings from applying the FAO Tool for Agroecology Performance Evaluation (TAPE) in Benin, Ethiopia, Kenya, and Madagascar in the context of the Global Programme Soil Protection and Rehabilitation for Food Security (ProSoil)

Matthias Geck, Chabi Adeyemi, Beatrice Adoyo, Joe Alpuerto, Ademonia A.D.D. Arnintye, Dickens Ateku, Patrice Autfrey, Carlos Barahona, Robin Chacha, Rémi Cluset, Valentine Karzir, Dave Milk, Nasandratra Revoljaiston, Levés Sörensen, Alex Thomson, Elvis Wetülow, Leigh Winowiecki, Endakachew Woldemeskel, Pitraki Zampela and Fergus Sinclair





Further efforts are required to enhance the multidimensional performance of farms, particularly regarding pocia performance dimensions such as women's and youth empowerment. The enfonce suggests that impact, effortheres, and relevance of apercological interventions can be enhanced through a focus on improving land tenure security especially for women, integration of trees in apercultural landscapes and value addition for limber and normines for test products, as well as the support for local submises development.



giz Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH



https://www.cifor-icraf.org/knowledge/publication/9298/



Agroecology is good for the environment, but not only!



2025 • Annual Members Forum Meeting / Hà Nội, Việt Nam



Holistic Localized Performance Assessment (HOLPA) tool for collecting evidence on the impact of agroecology

https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4891979

Farm, household and landscape



1979 farm-households across 8 countries





Consistent trend towards higher performance scores with increasing adherence to agroecology, across economic, environment, and social performance dimensions





On average, agroecology has a positive effect on biodiversity (tree diversity, crop species richness), energy use, climate resilience, human wellbeing, nutrition income, and crop health

Human wellbeing (qual) -	0.2**	0.7**	0.2**	0.2**	0.1	0.4**	0	0.1
Land security (% owned) -	-0.1*	0.1	0	-0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1
Land security (qual) -	0.1**	0.6**	0.1*	0	-0.1	0.2*	-0.1	0.1
Farmer agency (gual)	-0.1**	-0.7**	0.1	0	-0.1	0	0	0.1
Diet diversity (MFGD)	0.2**	0.4**	0.2**	0.2**	0	0.2**	0.1	0.5**
Avoided irrigation water stress (% months)	0		0.2				-0.2	
Avoided ag water stress (% months) -	0	-0.2**	-0.1	-0.3**	0.2**	0	0.1	0.2**
Climate mitigation (qual) -	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.2*
Landscape complexity (qual) -	0.1**	0.6**	0.1*	0.3**	0.3**	0.1	0	0.2**
Varietal diversity (qual) -	0	-0.6**	0	0.2**	0.3**	-0.2*	0.2**	-0.1
Crop richness (versus max) -	0.3**	0.4**	0.3**	0.3**	0.3**	0.2**	0.3**	0.2*
Tree diversity (qual)	0.3**	0.4**	0.3**	0.2**	0.3**	0.3**	0.1	0.3**
Animal diversity (qual) -	0.2**	0.6**	0.3**	0.3**	0	0.2*	0.1	0.2**
Energy sustainability (qual)	0.3**	0.4**	0.1**	0.1	0.1*	-0.3**	0	-0.2*
Recovery after shocks (qual) -	0.2**	0.4**	0.1**	0.2*	0	0.2**	0.1	0.2**
Climate resilience (RIMA) -	0.3**	-0.2**	0.3**	0.5**	0.2*	0.3**	0.2**	0.5**
Labour productivity (USD/hrs/yr)	-0.1^	0.1	0	0	-0.1	0	0	0
Reduced labour input (hrs/yr/ha) -	0	-0.4**	-0.1**	0	-0.1	0	0	-0.1
Yield gap (%)	0.1**	0.5**	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0	-0.2*	-0.2**
HH income sufficiency (qual) -	0.2**	0.4**	0.3**	0.3**	0.1*	0.4**	0.2*	0.3**
HH income versus expenditures (binary)	0.1**	0	0.1**	0	-0.2**	0.4**	0	0.1
HH income stability (qual)	0.2**	0.1	0.2**	0.1*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3**
HH income (versus average) -	0.1*	0.1	0.1	0.3**	0	0	0.1	0
Nutrient use (versus average) –	0	0.3**	-0.1	0.5**	-0.1	0.1	0	0.2*
Soil health (qual) -	-0.1**	-0.1	0	0	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0
Animal health (qual)	0	0.5**	-0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Crop health (SOCLA)	0.1	-0.6**			0.2**	0.3**	0	0.1
Crop health (% loss) -	0.2**	-0.7**	0.2**	0.1	-0.2*		0.2**	0.4**
	All	burkina_faso	kenya	laos	peru	senegal	tunisia	zimbabwe

0.0

-0.4

CGIAR

•	Mixed/no effect on										
	climate mitigation	Human wellbeing (qual) –	0.2**	0.7**	0.2**	0.2**	0.1	0.4**	0	0.1	
	or water	Land security (% owned)	_0 1*	0.1	0	-0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.1	
		Land security (qual) -	0.1**	0.6**	0.1*	0	-0.1	0.2*	-0.1	0.1	
	conservation	Farmer agency (qual)	-0.1**	-0.7**	0.1	0	-0.1	0	0	0.1	
		Diet diversity (MFGD) -	0.2**	0.4**	0.2**	0.2**	0	0.2**	0.1	0.5**	
٠	Mixed/negative effect	a irrigation water stress (% months) –	0	-0.2**	0.2 -0.1	-0.3**	0.2**	0	-0.2 0.1	0.2**	
	–	Climate mitigation (qual)	0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	-0.1	0.2*	
	on labour	Landscape complexity (qual)	0.1**	0.6**	0.1*	0.3**	0.3**	0.1	0	0.2**	
	productivity, soil	Varietal diversity (qual) -	0	-0.6**	0	0.2**	0.3**	-0.2*	0.2**	-0.1	
	productivity, son	Crop richness (versus max) -	0.3**	0.4**	0.3**	0.3**	0.3**	0.2**	0.3**	0.2*	
	health, farmer	Tree diversity (qual) –	0.3**	0.4**	0.3**	0.2**	0.3**	0.3**	0.1	0.3**	
	•	Animal diversity (qual) -	0.2**	0.6**	0.3**	0.3**	0	0.2*	0.1	0.2**	
	agency, land tenure	Energy sustainability (qual) -	0.3** 0.2**	0.4** 0.4**	0.1** 0.1**	0.1 0.2*	0.1* 0	-0.3** 0.2**	0	-0.2* 0.2**	
		Recovery after shocks (qual) – Climate resilience (RIMA) –	0.2**	-0.2**	0.3**	0.2**	0.2*	0.2**	0.1 0.2**	0.2**	
	security (or vice-	Labour productivity (USD/hrs/yr)	-0.1*	0.1	0.5	0.0	-0.1	0.5	0.2	0.5	
	Vorca	Reduced labour input (hrs/yr/ha) -	0	-0.4**	-0.1**	0	-0.1	0	0	-0.1	
	versa)	Yield gap (%)	0.1**	0.5**	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0	-0.2*	-0.2**	
•	Tools matter:	HH income sufficiency (qual) -	0.2**	0.4**	0.3**	0.3**	0.1*	0.4**	0.2*	0.3**	
		ncome versus expenditures (binary)	0.1**	0	0.1**	0	-0.2**	0.4**	0	0.1	
	depending on the	HH income stability (qual) -	0.2**	0.1	0.2**	0.1*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3**	
		HH income (versus average) – Nutrient use (versus average) –	0.1* 0	0.1 0.3**	0.1 -0.1	0.3** 0.5**	0	0 0.1	0.1	0	
	indicators and	Soil health (qual)	-0.1**	-0.1	-0.1	0.5	0.1	0.1	-0.1	0.2	
	mathada wa uga wa	Animal health (qual)	0	0.5**	-0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
	methods we use we	Crop health (SOCLA)	0.1	-0.6**			0.2**	0.3**	0	0.1	
	will get different	Crop health (% loss)	0.2**	-0.7**	0.2**	0.1	-0.2*		0.2**	0.4**	
	will get different		All	burkina_faso	kenya	laos	peru	senegal	tunisia	zimbabwe	
	results									- log	INI

-0.4

Correlation

CGIAR

Outlook on Agriculture Volume 52, Issue 3, September 2023, Pages 349-359 © The Author(s) 2023, Article Reuse Guidelines https://doi.org/10.1177/00307270231196309

Sage Journals

Perspectives

*CORRESPONDENCI



Measuring agroecology and its performance: An overview and critical discussion of existing tools and approaches



https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/epub/10.1177/00307270231196309





World Agroforestry (CIFOR-ICRAF), Nairobi, Kenya, ²Statistic for Sustainable Development (Stats4SD),

mtres//www.frontiersin.org/journals/sustainable-food-systems/articles/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1472109/full

The Scoping Study

Aimed to identify barriers and opportunities for assessing agroecological performance and explore how investing in the development of more holistic assessment can support transitions.

- Identify **key actors supporting agroecological transformation** and potential partnerships for advancing the field of agroecology.
- Evaluate their **experiences**, **interests**, **and needs** regarding holistic assessments, and identify common **barriers and opportunities**.
- Review existing metrics, tools and assessment approaches and identify priority areas for future research and development.

Ghana – Burkina Faso – Tunisia





This work was carried out with financial support from the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Ottawa, Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of IDRC or its Board of Governors.

Desk review & stakeholder mapping



In-depth interviews

Engagement Workshops





What we found





Need for diverse, cross-sectoral collaboration and a food systems approach that **go beyond production and consumption** to include processing and distribution, which currently receive less attention.



Actors (especially researchers) are collecting data and have relevant methods & tools, but communication and dissemination is lacking. **Need platforms for sharing knowledge** and leveraging each other's experiences (e.g. with different tools, such as TAPE & HOLPA).



Challenges in measuring key agroecological principles. The least measured principles were equity, social values & diets, connectivity, recycling and synergies due to **lack of appropriate metrics, tools and knowledge** on how to measure such aspects.





CIFOR AND Stats4SD

This work was carried out with financial support from the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Ottawa, Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of IDRC or its Board of Governors.

Key take-aways



- Embrace a **plurality of definitions** and frameworks
- Harmonise metrics while allowing for **context-specific adaptations**
- Strengthen capacity and **develop guidance** for holistic assessment
- Build platforms and communities for sharing knowledge
- Develop easy to use metrics and tools for the 'hard to measure'





This work was carried out with financial support from the International Development Research Centre (IDRC), Ottawa, Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of IDRC or its Board of Governors.



METRICS A project of the Agroecological Transitions Program for Building Resilient and Inclusive Agricultural & Food Systems (TRANSITIONS)











The Metaframework Build your own holistic assessment!

Developing holistic assessments of food and agricultural systems

A meta-framework for metrics users

Christine Lamanna **Brian Chiputwa** Richard Coe Levi Orero Mary Crossland Beatrice Adoyo Lisa E. Fuchs Matthias Geck Carlos Barahona



View and download the Metaframework here!





https://www.cifor-icraf.org/knowledge/publication/9081/



THE PATH

Steps to take to design an assessment system











A one-stop shop for metrics, where users can view, explore and select the right metrics for their needs.

What do you want to **understand**? What are your **practical constraints**? What is your **context**?



The TRANSITIONS Metrics Library



What is it?

The Metrics Library is an online database that provides a comprehensive collection of metrics for evaluating the performance of food and agricultural systems. This userfriendly platform will act as a onestop shop for users to explore, search, and select the most appropriate metrics and tools for their specific needs. The library is aimed at a wide range of users interested in agrifood systems assessment, from policymakers and donors to development actors and producers.

Why is it needed?

While we may already know which aspects of agrifood system performance we want to measure, choosing the right metrics can be challenging. The Metrics Library addresses this gap by offering users the ability to search for metrics based on various criteria, such as the dimension (e.g., economic, environmental, social), theme (e.g., food security, resource use efficiency), or scale of interest (e.g., field, farm, landscape, region). Additionally, the library can suggest existing assessment tools that align with a user's needs and introduce them to potentially overlooked metrics, ensuring a more holistic evaluation.

The Metrics Library is being developed to complement and support the metrics Meta-framework – a step-by-step guide to developing your own holistic assessment that meets your needs.

Check out the teaser on the Metrics Library here:

https://www.cifor-icraf.org/knowledge/publication/39378/



The SMART Initiative - Peru



- SMART is a **multi-stakeholder platform** that brings together actors to support the transition to agroecology-based agroforestry in the region of San Martin.
- Using the meta-framework to develop a module for their online agroforestry knowledge platform that will provide users with a list of candidate metrics.
- Goal is to guide more **harmonized and holistic assessment among platform** members to allow information sharing and collaborative learning.





SMART includes partners from local, regional and national government, NGO partners, civil society and farmer organizations



M&E framework for the Kenyan National Agroecology Strategy

- Collaborating with the Ministry-led Intersectoral Forum on Agrobiodiversity & Agroecology (ISFAA) to develop a monitoring and evaluation framework for the recently launched National Agroecology Strategy for Food System Transformation.
- Three-day workshop to decide on what to measure to track progress as well as the effectiveness of the NAS-FST implementation.







Looking forward to enhanced engagements on measuring what matters!

